From:

Sent: Thursday, January 9, 2025 2:36 PM

To: Clerk of Board

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121 are bad ideas

I wanted to weigh in on what I have heard on rules 1111 & 1121.

The phasing in the force change over to electric heats and a water heaters is going to be a expensive proposition. Between the cost of having to hire contractors and electricians to retro fit the required appliance, Let alone the added expense of the electricity that is rising every year and the impact that addition load will have on an already over tax grid systems that is vulnerable to winds and other event.

And this electricity is being generated with other fuels so are you are doing is saddling the Southern California owner with higher costs and no real saving in the quality of the air here or where the generation point is.

There are much better places the AQMD can look that at these appliance to get a better return on the investment this would burden average property owners with.

# **Rick Eakin CPA**

**Curtis R.Eakin CPA APC** 

Orange, CA 92868-2083

The information transmitted is intended only for the indended recipient(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by

reply email and destroy all copies of the original message, including deletion from and computer or storage medium.

Any accounting, business or tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments and enclosures, is not intended as a thorough, indepth analysis of specific issues, nor a substitute for a formal opinion, nor is it sufficient to avoid tax-related penalties. If desired, Curtis R. Eakin CPA APC would be pleased to perform the requisite research and provide you with a detailed written analysis. Such an engagement may be subject of a separate engagement letter that would define the scope and limits of the desired consultation services.

From: Peter Stull <

Sent: Thursday, January 9, 2025 10:30 AM

To: Clerk of Board

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rules 1111 and 1121

Hello. I read Don Wagner's article in the Orange County Register and agree with his perspective that proposed rules 1111 and 1121 are prohibitively expensive with little improvement in air quality. Hopefully our regulators will come to their senses and propose rules that are more sensible and fully vet them with the public. Peter Stull

From: Ken Malek

Sent: Thursday, January 9, 2025 11:28 AM

To: Clerk of Board

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Proposed New Rules

#### **SCAQMD** Board

I would just like to let you know that my wife, Edith, and I totally agree with the editorial by your fellow board member Don Wagner that was published in the Orange County Register on December 29th, 2024. The new rules proposed the he mentioned will have a disproportionate economic impact on our fellow Southern Californians while providing minimal health benefits. Although obtaining zero emissions from the various household and other appliances the new rules target is an admirable goal, the economic effect would have a disastrous impact on the most economically vulnerable amongst us. If these rules are implemented, the law of unintended consequences will surely apply and residential landlords and small business owners will bear the financial brunt. Naturally those added costs will be passed on to consumers. Sensible rules with obtainable goals should be the outcome of your board sessions, not solutions that have a more harmful outcome than the arguably beneficial effects you are trying to achieve.

Ken Malek

From: Rich Donaghy
Sent: Rich Donaghy
Thursday, January 9, 2025 5:45 PM

To: Clerk of Board

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Rule 1111 and 1121

I am 81 years old. How can I stay in Irvine CA if you are going to price me out of my home that I bought in 1980. There must be some common sense employed that doesn't penalize normal SoCal citizens. Three of my four grandchildren have already moved out of the state because of ideas such as this. Please stop!

Richard Donaghy