

Risk Assessment: OEHHA and the VOC Exemption Process

Assessing and Managing Toxic Risk from Alternative VOC Compounds

October 29, 2014

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)
21865 Copley Drive
Diamond Bar, California 91765



OEHHA's Role in the VOC Exemption Process

- ◆ **Substitution of a candidate compound for more reactive compounds could result in a significant increase in emissions of that compound.**
- ◆ **ARB staff, in conjunction with Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) staff, generally conduct an environmental impact evaluation of the candidate compound.**
- ◆ **OEHHA reviews the potential health effects of “VOC exempt” compounds under our general mandate to provide support to ARB and the Air Districts on health issues from air pollutant exposures.**



Hazard Identification and Risk Characterization

- ◆ Hazard identification and risk characterization procedure sources:
- ◆ 2008 “Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Technical Support Document for the Derivation of Noncancer Reference Exposure Levels”
- ◆ 2009 “Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Technical Support Document for Cancer Potencies”



Risk Characterization Values

- ◆ **Interim Reference Exposure Levels (RELs) and Cancer Potency Factors (CPF) are developed using methodologies contained in the documents mentioned using existing toxicity data for the candidate chemical.**
- ◆ **Unlike Hot Spots RELs and CPFs, the interim RELs and CPFs do not receive peer review by ARB's Scientific Review Panel.**



Hazard Identification

- ◆ **Chemicals may have multiple effects, including:**
 - **Acute and/or chronic organ/system toxicity**
 - **Developmental/reproductive toxicity**
 - **Carcinogenicity**

- ◆ **Conduct literature search for:**
 - **Human epidemiological or controlled exposure studies**
 - **Animal toxicity studies**



Risk Characterization: Noncancer Dose-Response Assessment

- ◆ **Characterization of the relationship between the dose of a chemical and the incidence of an adverse health effect in the study or experimental population.**
- ◆ **For noncarcinogens this process results in an acute or chronic REL.**
- ◆ **A REL is meant to be a “safe” exposure level at or below which no adverse noncancer health effects are anticipated.**



Dose-Response Assessment: Point of Departure

- ◆ **Point of Departure (POD):** the starting point in terms of either an exposure (e.g., mg/m³ of air, mg/L of water) or a dose (e.g., mg/kg-day) from a study to extrapolate to a REL.
- ◆ **PODs:**
 - **NOAEL:** no observed adverse effect level
 - **LOAEL:** lowest observed adverse effect level
 - **BMD: Benchmark Dose**
- ◆ **BMD: Modeled dose or exposure associated with a specified rate of response in a study**
 - **BMD approach is preferred to use of NOAELs/LOAELs**



REL Calculation

1. Identify POD (a dose or a concentration)
2. Multiply by appropriate time adjustments (e.g. intermittent exposure to continuous exposure, Haber's Law)
3. Multiply by appropriate dosimetric adjustment (e.g. Human Equivalent Concentration)
4. Divide the value by appropriate uncertainty factors (UFs).

$$\text{REL} = \frac{\text{POD} * \text{adjustments}}{\text{UFs}}$$



Uncertainty Factors

- ◆ Used to address datagaps when extrapolating from study results to the human population.
- ◆ May range from 1 to 10, maximum total UF is usually 3000.
- ◆ Interspecies uncertainty factor (UF_A)
 - To extrapolate from animals to humans
- ◆ Intraspecies uncertainty factor (UF_H)
 - To extrapolate from healthy average humans to sensitive humans



Uncertainty Factors

- ◆ **Subchronic uncertainty factor (UF_S)**
 - **To extrapolate from subchronic study to chronic exposure**
- ◆ **LOAEL to NOAEL UF**
- ◆ **Data deficiency factor (UF_D)**
 - **Usually employed if developmental, reproductive studies have not been conducted, or when database is poor**



Cancer Dose-Response Assessment: Data Types/Modeling

- ◆ **Human epidemiological cancer data**
 - linear dose-response model (regression analysis usually applied).
- ◆ **Animal tumor data**
 - **Biologically based models: Linearized multistage model.**
 - **Empirical models: Benchmark dose method (a mathematical function providing best fit to the observed dose-response data). Linear extrapolation rather than UFs applied to POD.**
 - **Benchmark dose method preferred.**
 - **Assume potency scales between species as $\frac{3}{4}$ power of body weight.**



Cancer Risk Characterization

- ◆ Endpoint is quantal (you either have it or you don't).
- ◆ Dose response assessment determines a carcinogen's potency - expressed as lifetime risk per unit dose.
 - Cancer potency (slope) factor: mg/kg-day^{-1}
 - Unit risk: $(\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3)^{-1}$
- ◆ Dose response is generally linear at low dose – no threshold. There is some increment in risk even at very low exposures.
- ◆ We use cancer potency factors to estimate cancer risk

$$\text{Cancer Risk} = \text{Exposure} \times \text{Potency}$$



Cancer Risk Characterization

- ◆ Risk values are upper bound estimates for an exposed population.
- ◆ Estimates are believed to be health conservative.
- ◆ Do not predict risk for a specified individual.
- ◆ Risk estimates for multiple carcinogenic exposures usually considered additive.
- ◆ Procedures address risk for whole life or at least 1 or more years.

