
From: guillermo guillermo [REDACTED]
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 at 7:04 AM
To: Wayne Nastri [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Oppose the Port ISR

Dear SCAQMD Executive Director Nastri:

It has come to my attention that the South Coast Air Quality Management District is considering the adoption of regulations that contains hard emissions caps at the ports. I strongly oppose any rules that contains a cargo cap, hard emissions cap or anything that limits cargo imports or exports at the Ports.

Hard caps at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach would devastate California's economy and represents an existential threat to the United States' largest port complex. In the global supply chain, shippers have access to many ports of call in the United States and when we lose cargo to these, we lose jobs and increase global greenhouse gas emissions.

We already have some of the world's leading emissions requirements on seaport operations in Southern California. These have been adopted and successfully implemented without the need to resort to emissions caps.

Instead of regulations with hard caps, we ask the SCAQMD to work on solutions to our air quality issues that create new investments and preserve our jobs. We must consider the economic impact of our decisions. Failure to do so would have long-lasting and extremely harmful impacts on regional and waterfront employment, and the economic activity of thousands of farmers, small businesses and other job creators in the South Coast region, throughout California, and in significant portions of the United States.

Again, I oppose any Ports ISR that includes hard caps – in name or effect. Instead, I support the Air District working with port stakeholders to find new and collaborative approaches to our remaining air quality challenges while preserving jobs and growing our economy.

Sent from my iPhone

From: gre cla [REDACTED]
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2024 at 3:59 AM
To: Wayne Natri [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Oppose the Port ISR

Dear SCAQMD Executive Director Natri:

It has come to my attention that the South Coast Air Quality Management District is considering the adoption of regulations that contains hard emissions caps at the ports. I strongly oppose any rules that contains a cargo cap, hard emissions cap or anything that limits cargo imports or exports at the Ports.

Hard caps at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach would devastate California's economy and represents an existential threat to the United States' largest port complex. In the global supply chain, shippers have access to many ports of call in the United States and when we lose cargo to these, we lose jobs and increase global greenhouse gas emissions.

We already have some of the world's leading emissions requirements on seaport operations in Southern California. These have been adopted and successfully implemented without the need to resort to emissions caps.

Instead of regulations with hard caps, we ask the SCAQMD to work on solutions to our air quality issues that create new investments and preserve our jobs. We must consider the economic impact of our decisions. Failure to do so would have long-lasting and extremely harmful impacts on regional and waterfront employment, and the economic activity of thousands of farmers, small businesses and other job creators in the South Coast region, throughout California, and in significant portions of the United States.

Again, I oppose any Ports ISR that includes hard caps – in name or effect. Instead, I support the Air District working with port stakeholders to find new and collaborative approaches to our remaining air quality challenges while preserving jobs and growing our economy.

From: Jeff Manzo [REDACTED]
Date: Saturday, March 16, 2024 at 9:33 PM
To: Wayne Nastri [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Oppose the Port ISR

Dear SCAQMD Executive Director Nastri:

It has come to my attention that the South Coast Air Quality Management District is considering the adoption of regulations that contains hard emissions caps at the ports. I strongly oppose any rules that contains a cargo cap, hard emissions cap or anything that limits cargo imports or exports at the Ports.

Hard caps at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach would devastate California's economy and represents an existential threat to the United States' largest port complex. In the global supply chain, shippers have access to many ports of call in the United States and when we lose cargo to these, we lose jobs and increase global greenhouse gas emissions.

We already have some of the world's leading emissions requirements on seaport operations in Southern California. These have been adopted and successfully implemented without the need to resort to emissions caps.

Instead of regulations with hard caps, we ask the SCAQMD to work on solutions to our air quality issues that create new investments and preserve our jobs. We must consider the economic impact of our decisions. Failure to do so would have long-lasting and extremely harmful impacts on regional and waterfront employment, and the economic activity of thousands of farmers, small businesses and other job creators in the South Coast region, throughout California, and in significant portions of the United States.

Again, I oppose any Ports ISR that includes hard caps – in name or effect. Instead, I support the Air District working with port stakeholders to find new and collaborative approaches to our remaining air quality challenges while preserving jobs and growing our economy.

J.Manzo

From: Guido Lucido [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 18, 2024 3:23:33 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Wayne Nastri
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Oppose the Port ISR

Dear SCAQMD Executive Director Nastri:

It has come to my attention that the South Coast Air Quality Management District is considering the adoption of regulations that contains hard emissions caps at the ports. I strongly oppose any rules that contains a cargo cap, hard emissions cap or anything that limits cargo imports or exports at the Ports.

Hard caps at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach would devastate California's economy and represents an existential threat to the United States' largest port complex. In the global supply chain, shippers have access to many ports of call in the United States and when we lose cargo to these, we lose jobs and increase global greenhouse gas emissions.

We already have some of the world's leading emissions requirements on seaport operations in Southern California. These have been adopted and successfully implemented without the need to resort to emissions caps.

Instead of regulations with hard caps, we ask the SCAQMD to work on solutions to our air quality issues that create new investments and preserve our jobs. We must consider the economic impact of our decisions. Failure to do so would have long-lasting and extremely harmful impacts on regional and waterfront employment, and the economic activity of thousands of farmers, small businesses and other job creators in the South Coast region, throughout California, and in significant portions of the United States.

Again, I oppose any Ports ISR that includes hard caps – in name or effect. Instead, I support the Air District working with port stakeholders to find new and collaborative approaches to our remaining air quality challenges while preserving jobs and growing our economy.

Sent from my iPhone