

APPENDIX D

Scoping Meetings Comments and Responses to Comments

July 10, 2012 Scoping Meeting in Buena Park, Orange County

No CEQA Comments were made.

July 11, 2012 Scoping Meeting in Jurupa Valley, Riverside County

Steve Smith: San Bernardino Area Governments

Comment: What areas of controversy were identified as part of the preparation the Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR) analysis for the 2012 AQMP?

Response: No areas of controversy with regard to the environmental analysis were identified by SCAQMD staff. Further, no areas of controversy regarding the environmental analysis were submitted in response to the 6/28/12 Notice of Preparation/Initial Study (NOP/IS) comment period or the 8/1/12 NOP/IS comment period.

July 10, 2012 Scoping Meeting in San Bernardino, San Bernardino County

No CEQA Comments were made.

July 12, 2012 Scoping Meeting in San Pedro, Los Angeles County

No CEQA Comments were made.

July 24, 2012 Scoping Meeting in Diamond Bar, Los Angeles County

Philip Lo: Los Angeles County Sanitation District

Comment: As part of the analysis of the coating control measures, will SCAQMD staff consider the state Paint Stewardship Program (PSP)?

Response: The analysis of potential environmental impacts from 2012 AQMP control measures, including the control measures affecting coatings, includes an evaluation of potentially significant adverse impacts that could be generated using a worst-case assumption. The analysis of the coating control measures identified potential human health and water quality issues, but these impacts were concluded to be less than significant. However, when promulgating the control measures as new rules or rule amendments, staff will consider the principles in the PSP to ensure that leftover paint is properly managed in a manner that is sustainably funded.

Comment: Have you considered potential environmental impacts from accidental releases of ammonia associated with the 2012 AQMP?

Response: The analysis of potential environmental impacts from 2012 AQMP control measures, includes an analysis of potential human health, water quality, and hazard impacts associated with an accidental release of ammonia from onsite storage and during transport. The analysis concluded that an accidental release of ammonia could generate potentially significant adverse hazard impacts. Mitigation measures were identified that could reduce these impacts to less than significant. As a result, human health, water quality, and hazards impacts from an accidental release of ammonia were concluded to be less than significant.

August 9, 2012 Scoping Meeting in Palm Desert, Riverside County

No CEQA Comments were made.

August 23, 2012 Scoping Meeting in Diamond Bar, Los Angeles County

Lee Wallace: Southern California Gas Company

Comment: The scope of the project alternatives appears somewhat narrow. Can you explain them in more detail?

Response: The project alternatives were developed by modifying the pollutant reduction strategies and include: a PM2.5 control strategy that includes localized episodic PM2.5 control measures that would apply only to the Mira Loma area; a NOx heavy control strategy that would not only achieve PM2.5 emission reductions, but additional NOx and VOC emission reductions (from mobile sources) that would also help make expeditious progress in attaining the federal one-hour and eight-hour ozone standards; and, at the request of the public, a PM2.5 only alternative, which would also continue implementing the ozone portion of the 2007 AQMP. Alternatives were developed in a way that clearly shows the differences in environmental impacts so the benefits and impacts are clear to the public and the SCAQMD's decisionmakers.